# WOMEN'S PARTICIPATION IN SPORT 

## ACROSS EUROPE

## November 2023 - Report

Prepared by
Angélica Sáenz-Macana, Researcher
EWS committee ENGSO

## CONTENTS

WELCOME ..... 3
Equality Within Sport(EWS) Committee ..... 3
Importance of this study .....  3
Acknowledgements ..... 3
INTRODUCTION ..... 5
METHODOLOGY ..... 7
Online survey ..... 7
Interviews ..... 8
PARTICIPANT PROFILE ..... 9
Age ..... 9
Gender identity ..... 10
Intersex women ..... 11
Sexual orientation ..... 11
Disability ..... 12
Country of residence ..... 13
Migrant background ..... 14
Social status ..... 14
Minority groups ..... 15
SPORT PARTICIPATION ..... 17
Regular physical activity or sport/s ..... 17
Levels of physical activity or sport/s ..... 19
Frequency of physical activity or sport/s ..... 20
Preference of physical activity or sport/s. ..... 21
Type of sport organisation ..... 23
Role in sport organisations ..... 26
Athletic identity ..... 27
Perception of an athlete role ..... 27
Negative affectivity on the athlete role ..... 29
MAIN FINDINGS OF THIS SECTION ..... 32
SPORT EXPERIENCES ..... 33
Harassment or discrimination ..... 33
Basis for harassment or discrimination ..... 34
Type of harassment or discrimination ..... 34
Reporting harassment or discrimination ..... 35
Barriers in sport ..... 36
Feeling safe in the sport environment. ..... 38
Homophobia in the sport environment ..... 39
Transphobia in the sport environment ..... 41
LBTOI+ women in sport environment ..... 41
MAIN FINDINGS OF THIS SECTION ..... 44
SPORTS ORGANISATIONS ..... 45
Anti-harassment policy ..... 45
Good practices of the sport organisation. ..... 49
Adequate safety needs. ..... 49
Strategies for equality and inclusion. ..... 50
Effectively tackling women's harassment and discrimination. ..... 51
Value the voices of the FLINTA community. ..... 52
Promote LBTOI+ women awareness-raising campaigns ..... 53
Tackling homophobia and transphobia in sport ..... 54
Sports organisation environment ..... 55
Feeling comfortable ..... 55
Feeling ashamed ..... 56
Feeling downhearted or depressed ..... 56
Feeling proud ..... 57
Feeling hyper-sexualized ..... 58
MAIN FINDINGS OF THIS SECTION ..... 59
RECOMMENDATIONS. ..... 60
SCOPE AND LIMITATION OF THE FINDINGS ..... 64
REFERENCES ..... 66

## WELCOME

## Equality Within Sport (EWS) Committee

The Equality Within Sport (EWS) Committee was created as a hub of expertise on equality within ENGSO, the European Non-Governmental Sport Organisation. The mission of EWS is to activate and inspire European sport organisations to act on equality, through active advocacy work, education, awareness-raising, and strengthening cooperation with ENGSO member organisations and other sporting bodies. EWS advocates that everyone can (should!) practise sport safely and freely by promoting opportunities in all levels and sectors of sport. The full potential of sport can be harnessed only by reaching equality.

## Importance of this study

The EWS Committee of ENGSO conducted this study during June, July and August of this year in order to understand the situation of women in sport across Europe The study gathered responses from anyone who self-defined as a woman¹, was aged 18 years and above, and who lived in a Council of Europe member state. The aim was to provide insight into women's perceptions and experience of sport and activity and so help EWS, and our partners to achieve fairness, equality and inclusion in the sports' environment.

## Acknowledgements

EWS thanks all respondents of the survey and questionnaire. The data collection would not have been possible without ENGSO members and associated members, partners of the Equip for Equality in Practice (EQUIP) Erasmus+ co-funded project, as well as all Non-Governmental Organisations, National Olympic Committees, FLINTA ${ }^{2}$ associations, LGTBI+ sport clubs, event organisers of the European Youth \& Sport Platform 2023,

[^0]EuroGames 2023, Discover Football International Symposium 2023 and IBSA World Games 2023, and all other organisations and individuals who disseminated the survey.

## INTRODUCTION

In 2022, EWS conducted research to collect up-to-date data that dealt with meaningful and recent topics regarding Lesbian, Bisexual, Transgender, Intersex people, Queer/Questioning, and everyone who identifies in the LGBTOI+ community. The research focused on 4 topics, published in the format of 4 factsheets on (1) LBTOI+ women in sport, (2) LGBTOl+ and sport participation, (3) LGBTOI+ and safe sport and LGBTOI+ and (4) the legacy of covid-19. In 2023, the EWS committee has been focused on continuing research into the status of equality within sport with deepening the knowledge acquired on LBTOI+ ${ }^{3}$ women's experiences.

This 2023 report has a greater aim to make sport a safer environment for women in the EU, focuses on presenting the results from an intersectional ${ }^{4}$ perspective, specifically taking into account six socio-demographic aspects: age, gender identity, sexual orientation, migrant background, socio-economic and disability status. This data enabled us to look more deeply into the lived experiences of women (belonging to the LBTQI+ community or not) who are marginalised by multiple structures of oppression.

In general, competition, strength, and virility have been values encouraged, transmitted, reproduced, and defended through participation in sports (Messner 2002). These traits continue to be connected to hegemonic heteronormative ${ }^{5}$ masculinity in our society (Barber \& Krane, 2005; Griffin, 1998; Messner \& Solomon, 2007). The sexual nature of sport is supported by the physical differences between men and women as well as a potential disadvantage for women. This results in a binary-gendered separation of sports into "female" and "male" based on biological considerations granting a privileged position to men (Braumüller, Menzel, \& Hartmann-Tews, 2022).

[^1]As a result, in some circumstances women prefer to refrain from engaging in sporting activities in order to avoid prejudice, discrimination, and stigma of the oppression from the patriarchal ${ }^{6}$ system rooted in the sporting environment (Sartore \& Cunningham, 2009). Even though women are fighting for greater recognition in terms of athletic performance and are attempting to eradicate sexist ideologies and misogynistic sports behaviour, they still have a long way to go in terms of representation and image, funding, and promoting women in leadership positions(IOC, 2018).

In addition to being excluded from and underrepresented in the majority of sports, LBTOI+ women also express their underrepresentation in LGBTOI+ sports generally. A previous report about experiences of LBTOI+ women in sport indicated that they are exposed to more pressure in sports considered "feminine", are paid less compared to men for comparative work, and are victims of harassment, bullying, isolation, physical violence, and blanket exclusion in sport (ILGA-Europe, EL*C, TGEU, OIIE, \& EGLSF, 2021). The European Gay \& Lesbian Sport Federation has discovered that LBTOI+ women in particular express feelings like "a minority within a minority" at LGBTOI community sporting events. In contrast to cisgender people, transgender women are more likely to experience discriminatory situations, such as verbal abuse (Hargie, Mitchell \& Somerville, 2017; Menzel, Braumüller, \& Hartmann-Tews, 2018).

Finally, in the sports' environment where whiteness and masculinity prevail (Ray, 2014), it is important that an intersectional analysis be conducted in quantitative research to improve the understanding of the disparities that exist in opportunities and participation in physical activity and sport among people with different social identities (Abichahine \& Veenstra, 2017). The EWS committee recognises that intersectionality is necessary in advancing gender equity in sport because there is no one solution for all women and girls, and if this is not acknowledged, there is a risk of building more barriers to true equality.

[^2]
## METHODOLOGY

This study spanned a duration of 6 months, starting in April 2023, with the development of a theoretical framework intended to inform the survey and interview designs. The survey was administered in six languages, with native speakers ensuring translations in English, French, Spanish, Portuguese, Italian, and German. Additionally, the online survey was meticulously prepared using the LimeSurvey software. Data collection began in June and was disseminated through various communication channels. Analysis commenced upon the conclusion of the survey distribution, occurring concurrently with the composition of this report.


## Online survey

487 women took part in an online survey between June and August 2023. This covered the summer period, where the researcher attended four different events to collect the data:

- European Youth \& Sport Platform 2023 held between 1-4 June 2023, in Stockholm, Sweden.
- EuroGames 2023 held between 26-29 July 2023 in Bern, Switzerland.
- Discover Football International Symposium held between 12-13 August 2023 in Berlin, Germany.
- IBSA World Games 2023 held between 18-27 August 2023 in Birmingham, UK.

The online survey was also disseminated through different communication channels of ENGSO, social media, newsletters, and to sport organisations, sport federations, LGBTOI+ associations and amateur/professional sport clubs. The sample was representative of the women across key socio-demographics and the results are consistent with certain previous studies. Further information on the sample can be found in the section 'Participants profile'.

The survey comprised a total of 45 questions divided into 4 blocks:
Block 1: Sociodemographic characteristics
Block 2: Sports participation
Block 3: Experiences in sport
Block 4: Sports organisations

## Interviews

Six survey participants took part in a series of interviews, organised by the researcher. Efforts were made to select women from different demographic backgrounds and sport profiles. In this stage, participants shared their reactions to the findings and led discussions on what recommendations should be made. The experiences of disabled women, migrant women, transgender women, heterosexual women, and of those working in functions that offer support to women are fundamental to this research.

## PARTICIPANT PROFILE

To identify the target population for this study, the following inclusion criteria were considered:

1. Completed the survey to $100 \%$.
2. Aged over 18 years.
3. Self-identification as a woman.
4. Resident in a member state of the Council of Europe.

A total of 885 individuals accessed the survey link. In relation to criteria number 1, it was observed that 158 individuals did not respond to any questions, and 196 provided incomplete responses. Therefore, 531 individuals completed the survey completely. Applying criteria 2, 7 participants were excluded due to their status as minors, resulting in 524 remaining participants. Applying criteria 3, 36 participants were excluded as they identified as male, leaving 487 participants who either fully or partially identified as women. It's noteworthy that all participants have resided in a member state of the Council of Europe within the last 12 months.

As a result, the sample for this study consisted of 487 women, and their socio-demographic characteristics will be outlined below.

## Age


$12 \%$. Consequently, it can be affirmed this sample exhibits diversity regarding age.

## Gender identity

As described above, all participants identified as women. However, gender identity ${ }^{7}$ is not limited to a binary concept, as there are now over 40 recognized gender identities, which can be categorised as binary or non-binary. Additionally, new identities continue to emerge regularly across various cultures worldwide, therefore, this report considered describing gender identities beyond the binary framework. Among the women in the sample, $84 \%$ identified themself as woman, while $16 \%$ identified themselves with a broader gender spectrum.

## GENDER IDENTITY



In the results obtained, women are not a homogenous group but a very diverse group, facing different experiences and perceiving realities in different ways.

Another aspect of the gender identity was whether the participants identified as transgender. The findings indicate that $11 \%$ identified as transgender, $3 \%$ were uncertain, $1 \%$ preferred to not disclose their identity, and $85 \%$ of women identified as cisgender ${ }^{8}$. Within the transgender spectrum, $56 \%$ identified as women, $22 \%$ as non-binary women, $6 \%$ as queer, $4 \%$ as queer women, $2 \%$ as gender fluid, $2 \%$ as agender, and $11 \%$ as other.

|  |  | Yes | No | Not Sure | Prefer not to say |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Are/were you a trans | $\#$ | 54 | 414 | 17 | 2 |
| person? | $\%$ | $11 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

[^3]For the purposes of analysing gender identity, women who responded 'not sure' or 'prefer not to say' were not considered.

## Intersex women

The "I is for Intersex ${ }^{9}$ not Invisible" has been a recent motto of the intersex support and activist movements, and for this reason the study considered it crucial to consider this socio-demographic characteristic. It is worth noting that $3 \%$ of women identified as intersex ( 12 responses), while $95 \%$ did not identify as intersex, and $2 \%$ are either uncertain or preferred not to disclose their status.

For the purposes of analysing this socio-demographic characteristic in this report, women who responded not sure or prefer not to say were not considered.

## Sexual orientation

Sexual orientation ${ }^{10}$ was one of the key socio-demographic characteristics considered in this report to gain a deeper understanding of LBTOI+ women participation in sport, and it categorised women into two groups: heterosexual comprising 34\% (165 responses), and non-heterosexual constituting 66\%. Among the non-heterosexual group, the highest representation was women defining as lesbian (33\%), followed by

[^4]those defining as bisexual ( $23 \%$ ), with smaller percentages representing pansexual women (3\%), asexual women ( $2 \%$ ), and queer women ( $2 \%$ ). It is worthwhile noting that highlighting other non-conforming sexual orientations in this report served to SEXUAL ORIENTATION
 emphasise the importance of the "+" symbol, which extends beyond the initial five letters of the LBTOI+ acronym. 3\% of participants were not sure or preferred not to disclose their sexual orientation.

For the purposes of analysing this socio-demographic characteristic in this report, only women who identify with one of the sexual orientations were considered.

## Disability

Another socio-demographic characteristic considered was whether the women had any type of disability. The majority of the women in this study (90\%) stated that they did not have a disability (437 responses), 8\% identified
 themselves as women with disabilities, and $2 \%$ were uncertain. The following table illustrates that women with a diverse range of impairment types participated in this study.

|  |  | Physical <br> or <br> motor | Sensorial | Mental health <br> or <br> developmental | Socio-emot <br> ional | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of | $\#$ | 12 | 8 | 12 |  | 6 |
| disability | $\%$ | $32 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $32 \%$ | - | $15 \%$ |

When participants indicated having another type of disability, these included chronic illness and autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

## Country of residence

The following map illustrates the countries of residence over the past 12 months of the women who participated in the survey. The study represents the number of respondents with different colours: yellow represents countries with no responses (for example, Ukraine), light blue indicates 1-20 responses (for example, Italy), green indicates $21-40$ responses (for example, Finland), pink indicates $41-60$ responses (for example, Austria), white indicates 61-80 responses (for example, Germany), blue between 81-100 responses (no country), and purple between 100-120 responses (for example, Spain).


It can be observed that the highest participation in the survey occurred in Spain, accounting for $21 \%$ of the sample, followed by Germany with $15 \%$, and the United Kingdom (including England, Wales, and Scotland) with $13 \%$. These three countries
together represent nearly $50 \%$ of the total sample. Additionally, it was determined that 403 women resided in urban areas, constituting $83 \%$ of the total.

## Migrant background

Regarding the previous characteristic - MIGRANT BACKGROUND country of residence -, $74 \%$ of participants indicated they were born in the same country in which they have resided in the last 12 months (360 responses). Therefore, $26 \%$ were identified as migrant women.


Additionally, an effort was made to identify ethnic backgrounds. $32 \%$ identified as European, $25 \%$ as Hispanic or Latin American, and 6\% as Middle Eastern. $16 \%$ were uncertain, and $21 \%$ were categorised as "others" because they perceived themselves as combinations of several of these categories and/or related to racial characteristics (e.g. Caucasian European).

|  |  | European | Hispanic <br> / Latino | Middle <br> Eastern | Not <br> sure | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic | $\#$ | 140 | 108 | 25 | 68 | 89 |
| background | $\%$ | $32 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

## Social status

The study identified that the majority of women work as employees (69\%), followed by being university students ( $11 \%$ ), and being self-employed ( $9 \%$ ).

Another characteristic considered was religion, and the majority of the sample did not adhere to any religion (69\%), as depicted in the tables below.

|  |  | In paid <br> work | Self-empl <br> oyed | Unemployed | Student <br> / pupil | Retired | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social | $\#$ | 334 | 44 | 16 | 52 | 16 | 25 |
| status | $\%$ | $69 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |


|  |  | No <br> religion | Christian <br> - <br> Catholic | Christian- <br> Protestant | Christian- <br> Ortodox | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion | $\#$ | 336 | 72 | 45 | 9 | 25 |
|  | $\%$ | $69 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |

## Minority groups

Finally, participants were asked whether they felt they belonged to any minority group, and the results showed that 193 women did not consider themselves to belong to any minority group ( $40 \%$ ), while $60 \%$ did. In the graph below, it is evident that the largest proportion of women ( $66 \%$ ) considered themselves a sexual and gender minority or LBTOII+ (194 responses), and others simultaneously identified as LBTOQI+ women with an extra minority ( $25 \%$ ). With this in mind, it is clear that, for most women, there were several intersectional axes of oppression ${ }^{11}$ that contribute to their sense of belonging to various types of minority groups.


As described in the introduction, the results will be presented with an intersectional perspective, considering six socio-demographic characteristics:

- Age

[^5]- Gender identity
- Intersex
- Sexual orientation
- Migrant background
- Disability


## SPORT PARTICIPATION

This section explores how women felt about engaging with physical activity and their participation in sport/s.

## Regular physical activity or sport/s

The survey asked respondents several questions pertaining to their participation in physical activity ${ }^{12}$ and sport ${ }^{13}$. Of the total sample, $89 \%$ considered themselves to have been physically active during the last 12 months, while $11 \%$ were not physically active. Therefore, for this section, only 433 responses
 were analysed.

Regarding sports practice in relation to age, it was observed that the percentage of participants taking part in physical activity and sport decreases as age increases. The highest percentage ( $19 \%$ ) of women who were not physically active is found among those over 55 years of age.

| Participation in <br> sport |  | $18-24$ | $25-34$ | $35-44$ | $45-54$ | $55+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\#$ | 47 | 178 | 111 | 50 | 47 |
|  | $\%$ | $87 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| No | $\#$ | 7 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 11 |
|  | $\%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $19 \%$ |

[^6]In relation to whether they identified themselves as intersex or transgender women, it was evident that all (100\%) of intersex women participated in sports. Transgender women had a slightly lower participation rate in sports (85\%) compared to cisgender women (90\%).

| Participation <br> in sport |  | Intersex | No <br> Intersex | Transgender | Cisgender |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\#$ | 12 | 415 | 46 | 372 |
|  | $\%$ | $100 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| No | $\#$ |  | 49 | 8 | 42 |
|  | $\%$ | - | $11 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

Regarding women with disability, $24 \%$ did not participate in any sport, a significantly higher percentage compared to those without disabilities, which is only $10 \%$. The following table illustrates how this participation is also influenced by the type of disability.

| Participation <br> in sport |  | No <br> disability | Disability | Physical | Sensorial | Mental | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\#$ | 392 | 29 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 4 |
|  | $\%$ | $90 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| No | $\#$ | 45 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $\%$ | $10 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

Another noteworthy analysis revealed that migrants exhibited a lower participation rate (15\%) compared to non-migrants(10\%).

| Participation in <br> sport |  | Migrant <br> background | No <br> migrant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\#$ | 108 | 325 |
|  | $\%$ | $85 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| No | $\#$ | 19 | 35 |
|  | $\%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

Similarly, when gender identity or sexual orientation is considered, no significant differences were observed.

## Levels of physical activity or sport/s

To determine the level of sports' participation, the information was divided between women who engaged in individual activities and those who participated in group activities. The graphs are available below:


Regarding women who engaged in some form of exercise or physical activity, a higher percentage prefer to do so individually (64\%) rather than in groups ( $47 \%$ ). This trend was consistent independent of any socio demographic characteristic.



For the women who participate in sport, the percentage of participation decreased as the level of competition increased. Specifically, at the recreational level, the percentage of participation remained slightly higher in individual sports (39\%) than in group sports ( $36 \%$ ). Conversely, in competitive sport, there was a higher participation rate in group sports (25\%) compared to individual sports (14\%). Additionally, among elite sportswomen, participation in individual sports (3\%) was lower than in group sports (5\%). This trend was consistent independent of any sociodemographic characteristics.

## Frequency of physical activity or sport/s

In response to the question regarding how often they engage in physical activity or sport, the majority of participants ( $46 \%$ ) engaged in physical activity 2-3 times a week (223 responses), while $25 \%$ practised 4-6 times a week, and $3 \%$ did so every day. This demonstrated that the majority of the population (74\%) achieved the WHO recommendation of engaging in at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity per week, which provides significant health benefits. This trend was consistent independent of any socio demographic characteristics.


## Preference of physical activity or sport/s

Generally, in analysis of those preferring to engage in physical activity or sport with others, $40 \%$ preferred mixed spaces, with both men and women training or competing (172 responses), or they were not particular about their workout companions (21\%). Nonetheless, it's worth noting that $17 \%$ preferred spaces exclusively for FLINTA ${ }^{14}$ community (for example, spaces excluding individuals identifying as cisgender men), $14 \%$ preferred to exercise only with women, and $8 \%$ preferred to exercise individually.


Analysing this information by age, it was evident that younger women had a preference for spaces exclusive to women ( $23 \%, 11$ responses) and within the FLINTA community ( $17 \%$, 8 responses), which can be summarised as $40 \%$ participants prefer participating sports without cisgender men. Within the 45-54 age group, there was a preference for physical activity within the FLINTA community ( $20 \%$ ). In the older age bracket, the majority of older women favoured mixed groups ( $44 \%$ ), followed by female-only groups

[^7](21\%). In the 25-34 and 35-44 age groups, there were no notable deviations from the overall trend.

When considering the most diverse gender identities, transgender women did not exhibit a strong preference for any group ( $35 \%$ ), although their preference rate reached $24 \%$ for FLINTA groups, higher than that of the general population. Similarly, there is a preference among people with disabilities (28\%) and non-binary women (44\%) for sports facilities within the FLINTA community.

| Preference of <br> participation |  | Only <br> women | Within a <br> FLINTA <br> group | Within a <br> mixed <br> group | Prefer <br> individually | No <br> preference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transgender | $\#$ | 2 | 11 | 12 | 5 | 16 |
|  | $\%$ | $4 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Disability | $\#$ | 4 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
|  | $\%$ | $14 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

PREFERENCE OF PRACTISE SPORT/S


In terms of gender orientation or being a migrant woman, no significant differences were identified in their sporting preferences compared with the overall results.
Another question that was asked is whether participants believed that LBTOI+ women preferred to participate in sports venues exclusively with FLINTA groups. The responses indicated that 7\% chose 'always' (36 responses), 42\%

Do you think LBTIQ+ women prefer to participate in sport with people who identify as FLINTA?
 selected 'very often' or 'sometimes', 6\% said 'rarely,' and only $2 \%$ responded with 'never.' These findings remained consistent across all socio demographic characteristics.

## Type of sport organisation

Regarding the type of organisation where participants engaged in physical activity or sport, $27 \%$ participated in an amateur club ( 113 responses), $18 \%$ in an LGTBIQ+ sport's club, and $18 \%$ participated in both (simultaneously a sports club and an informal group). Subsequently, it was found that $16 \%$ exclusively engage in an informal group, $12 \%$ in a gym, and finally, $9 \%$ in a professional club, which aligns with the limited participation of elite athletes in this study.


Using age as a reference point, it was evident that older women's (55+) participation in informal groups increased to $25 \%$. Conversely, among younger women, participation in elite clubs rose to $21 \%$.

| Sport <br> organisation | Professional <br> sports club | Amateur <br> sports <br> club | LGTBIO+ <br> sports <br> club | Profit <br> organisation | Informal <br> group | Club + <br> informal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18-24$ | $\#$ | 10 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
|  | $\%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | $\#$ | 18 | 42 | 35 | 19 | 28 | 36 |
|  | $\%$ | $10 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | $\#$ | 6 | 27 | 26 | 19 | 12 | 21 |
|  | $\%$ | $5 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $\#$ | 5 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 10 |
|  | $\%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $55+$ | $\#$ | 2 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 5 |
|  | $\%$ | $4 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

Among all the socio demographic characteristics, the smallest percentage of participation in elite sport clubs were transgender women (2\%), whereas their participation in informal groups increased to $24 \%$.

| Sport <br> organisation | Professional <br> sports club | Amateur <br> sports <br> club | LGTBIQ+ <br> sports <br> club | Profit <br> organisation | Informal <br> group | Club + <br> informal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transgender | $\#$ | 1 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 7 |
|  | $\%$ | $2 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Cisgender | $\#$ | 39 | 99 | 66 | 45 | 57 | 66 |
|  | $\%$ | $10 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

Analysing gender identities, there was clear evidence of no participation by diverse women (queer, non-binary, etc..) in professional sports' clubs, but they had a significantly higher level of participation in LGTBIO+ sports clubs and informal groups.


When considering sexual orientation as a reference point, it was evident that lesbian women primarily participate in LGTBIO+ sports clubs ( $30 \%, 44$ responses), followed by membership in amateur clubs (20\%), informal groups (18\%), and only $5 \%$ in elite sports clubs. On the other hand, heterosexual women mostly engaged in amateur clubs (35\%), with $10 \%$ in professional sports clubs, $23 \%$ in informal clubs, and only $3 \%$ in LGTBIO+ sports clubs. Women with the highest percentage of participation in professional clubs are bisexual ( $14 \%$ ), followed by amateur sports clubs ( $23 \%$ ) and LGTBIQ+ sports clubs ( $20 \%$ ). Half of asexual individuals in this study belong to amateur sports clubs. The majority of women with a queer sexual orientation engaged through informal groups ( $40 \%$ ), with no one participating in professional clubs. Additionally, $46 \%$ of pansexual women were mostly members of LGTBIO+ sports clubs.

TYPE OF SPORTS ORGANISATION


In relation to women with a disability, it is evident that the majority of them belonged to informal groups ( $34 \%$ ); only one person was a member of a professional sports club and another of an LGTBIO+ sports club. Generally, individuals without disabilities were members of amateur sports clubs (27\%) and LGTBIO+ sports clubs (20\%).

| Sport <br> organisation | Professional <br> sports club | Amateur <br> sports <br> club | LGTBIO+ <br> sports <br> club | Profit <br> organisation | Informal <br> group | Club + <br> informal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability | $\#$ | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 7 |
|  | $\%$ | $4 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| No disability | $\#$ | 38 | 103 | 78 | 46 | 58 | 59 |
|  | $\%$ | $10 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

Considering migrant background, no significant differences were observed. Consequently, these socio demographic characteristics do not appear to exert influence on the type of sports organisation.

## Role in sport organisations

Among the women respondents, the majority ( $51 \%, 249$ responses) were athletes, followed by those in coordination or management roles ( $9 \%$ ), coaches ( $8 \%$ ), board members ( $5 \%$ ), volunteers ( $5 \%$ ), and referees ( $2 \%$ ). $20 \%$ of the women did not hold any role in a sports organisation in the previous 12 months.


These percentages remained quite similar when considering various socio demographic characteristics, except for sexual orientation. Most women are athletes, but there was no participation of asexual and queer women in roles such as coordinators, board members, or judges. Among heterosexual women, the second-highest percentage held coordinator or manager roles ( $20 \%, 34$ responses), and board member positions (7\%), which is above the overall average.

ROLE IN A SPORT ORGANISATION


## Athletic identity

To analyse athletic identity ${ }^{15}$, certain items from The Athletic Identity Measurement Scale (AIMS) by Brewer and Cornelius (2001) were considered, as it is at the forefront of athletic identity measurement. Women participants were asked to rate their level of agreement or disagreement with respect to their sports participation on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 represents 'Strongly Disagree' and 5 represents 'Strongly Agree'.

## Perception of an athlete role

The following items were considered: "I consider myself an athlete," "Most of my friends are athletes," "Sport is the most important part of my life," and "I spend more time thinking about sport than anything else," to measure the extent to which individuals perceive themselves in the role of an athlete. Since it was scored on a scale from 1 to 5, and there were 4 items, the maximum achievable score was 20 points, The overall average score was 11.55 . The higher the value, the greater their perception of themselves in the role of an athlete. The graphs illustrating this variable related to different socio-demographic characteristics are presented below:

PERCEPTION OF ATHLETIC ROLE
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PERCEPTION OF ATHLETIC ROLE




Regarding the participants' age, it has been observed that younger women tended to score higher, while women over 55 tended to score lower, indicating an inversely proportional relationship between age and athletic identity. In the previous graphs, it has been noted that transgender women, migrant women, and women with motor, sensory, or other disabilities scored below the general average, indicating a lower athletic identity. When considering sexual orientation, it was apparent that bisexual, heterosexual, and queer women have scores higher than the average, whereas asexual women have the lowest score within the entire group (8.77). Finally, women with the most diverse gender identities exhibit the lowest values for their athlete role.

## Negative affectivity on the athlete role

The following items were considered: "I feel bad about myself when I do poorly in sport" "I would be very depressed if I were injured and could not compete in sport" to assess the extent to which individual experiences affect responses to undesirable outcomes within an athletic role and space. As it was scored on a scale from 1 to 5 , the maximum possible score to achieve was 10 points. The higher the value, the greater the negative affectivity in the role of an athlete. The average score overall was found to be 6.78. The graphs considering different socio-demographic variables, are presented below:






Regarding the age of the participants, it has been observed that there is a higher score in the two younger groups of women, suggesting that they were more negatively affected, possibly feeling depressed if they fail to achieve their desired sports results. In the subsequent graphs, it has been noticed that transgender women, intersex women, migrant women, and women with mental or other disabilities had higher scores than the general average, indicating a greater degree of negative affectivity. Concerning sexual orientation, it can be inferred that lesbian, bisexual, and queer women exhibited a higher average than the overall mean. Finally, women with fluid gender identity had the highest score (8.33).

## MAIN FINDINGS OF THIS SECTION

$\square$ Women with disabilities, older women, transgender women, and migrant women tended to participate less in physical activity or sports.

As the competitive level of sport increases, the number of women participants decreases, with almost $80 \%$ of women in the study preferring to practise physical activity or recreational sport.
$\square 74 \%$ of women achieved the WHO requirement of at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week for substantial health benefits.
$\square 84 \%$ of the sample estimate that LBTOI+ women preferred to engage in physical activity or sport with FLINTA groups.
$\square$ In general, the participation of women was low in professional sports clubs, with cisgender women at $10 \%$ and transgender women at $2 \%$.
$\square 51 \%$ of the sample considered themselves as athletes.
$\square$ Younger, heterosexual, bisexual, and queer women perceived themselves more strongly in the role of an athlete.
$\square$ LBTOI+ women experienced a higher negative effect in response to undesirable outcomes within an athletic role and space.

## SPORT EXPERIENCES

This section explores the experiences of women in relation to harassment or discrimination, the barriers they encountered in sports, and their perceptions regarding the safety of LBTQI+ women in the sporting environment.

## Harassment or discrimination

Participants were asked whether they had personally experienced harassment or discrimination while engaging in sport or physical activity over the past 12 months. 19\% responded affirmatively ( 93 responses), indicating that approximately 1 out of 5 women
 faced a situation of harassment.

| Experience <br> Harassment | 18-24 | Transgender | Non- <br> binary | Non- <br> binary <br> woman | Queer | Bisexual | Disability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\#$ | 16 | 25 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 27 | 14 |
| $\%$ | $30 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
| No | $\#$ | 38 | 29 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 83 | 24 |
|  | $\%$ | $70 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $63 \%$ |

It is noteworthy that with regard to socio demographic characteristics, the study identified that the highest percentage of women who reported experiencing harassment or discrimination were in the youngest age group, specifically those aged between 18 and 24 years ( $30 \%$ ). Also, concerning gender identity, transgender women $(46 \%)$, non-binary individuals ( $36 \%$ ), and queer women ( $34 \%$ ) were in the higher percentile for experiencing harassment or discrimination. In terms of sexual orientation, bisexual women (25\%) also reported a relatively high rate. Finally, 37\% of women with disabilities reported experiencing harassment or discrimination.

## Basis for harassment or discrimination



Overall, most participants reported experiencing harassment or discrimination related to gender identity or expression ( $40 \%$ ), followed by concerns about body appearance ( $26 \%$ ) and low performance ( $12 \%$ ).

## Type of harassment or discrimination

Participants were asked about the types of harassment or discrimination they had experienced, allowing them to select one or several options, because harassment often occurs in multiple and simultaneous ways.



In the previous graphs and also taking into account socio demographic characteristics, it was indicated that almost all women (90\%) experienced verbal harassment. Psychological harassment followed closely at $38 \%$, with bisexual women and women with a disability reporting the highest levels of this. Additionally, $35 \%$ of participants felt they were subjected to abuse due to power imbalances, where they were clearly in a disadvantaged position, with migrant women being the most likely to experience this. Sexual harassment was reported at $16 \%$, with bisexual women reporting the highest incidence. Finally, cyberstalking stood at 14\%, and physical harassment at 4\%.

## Reporting harassment or discrimination

Participants were asked if they had reported such incidents of harassment or discrimination. In $76 \%$ of cases, these incidents went unreported. Only $16 \%$ chose to self-report, while $8 \%$ of incidents were reported by another individual.

## REPORT



\author{

- Yes, I reported it <br> Yes, someone else reported it No
}

This trend is consistent across most socio demographic characteristics, except in the case of women with a disability, as none of them reported their incidents of harassment.

## Barriers in sport

To analyse barriers in sport, certain items were taken from The Barriers to Physical Activity and Sport Questionnaire for LGBTQ+ persons (BPASQ-LGBTO) by Ubeda-Colomer, et al. (2020). Women participants were asked to rate the barriers which hindered or prevented their participation in physical activity or sport activities in the last 12 months on a scale of 1 to 5 , where 1 represents 'It was not a barrier for me' and 5 represents ' It was a very strong barrier for me,'. The items were: "Lack of confidence in your ability", "Fear of rejection or harassment", "Your friends or family are not sports enthusiasts", "Fear of going alone", "Lack of adequate, inclusive, and accessible facilities (e.g., locker rooms) at sport centres", "The cost is too high", "Lack of LBTOI+ sports associations' '. Since it was scored on a scale from 1 to 5 , and there were 7 items, the maximum achievable score was 35 points. The higher the value, the greater the perception of barriers to practise sport. The overall average score was 13.86. The
graphs illustrating this variable related to different socio-demographic characteristics are presented below:



BARRIERS IN SPORT


Regarding the participants' age, it was observed that younger women tended to score higher, while women over 55 tended to score lower, indicating an inversely proportional relationship between age and barriers in sport. In the graphs above, it was noted that transgender women, migrant women, and women with a disability scored higher than the general average, indicating significant barriers to sport participation. When considering sexual orientation, heterosexual and asexual women had scores lower than the average, suggesting fewer perceived barriers. Finally, women with diverse gender identities exhibited the highest scores compared to the average.

## Feeling safe in the sport environment

Participants were asked about their feelings of safety in sport, and the majority responded affirmatively with 'definitely' (56\%, 273 responses) and 'sometimes'(36\%). 4\% stated that they feel safe 'very little' and 4\% 'definitely not'.

## DO YOU FEEL SAFE WITHIN THE SPORTS ENVIRONMENT?



However, $22 \%$ of transgender women did not feel safe in the sporting environment ( 12 responses), while $15 \%$ felt 'very little' safety. $39 \%$ feel 'sometimes' safe, and $24 \%$ feel 'definitely' safe. In comparison to cisgender individuals, their feeling of safety is notably lower, with only $62 \%$ feeling 'definitely' safe. Similar results were obtained from pansexual women.


Regarding other socio demographic characteristics, there were no significant differences compared to the data obtained in the overall results.

## Homophobia in the sport environment

The women were asked whether they perceived homophobia as a problem in sport. The responses were as follows:

## DO YOU THINK THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH HOMOPHOBIA IN SPORT?



Evidence showed that $85 \%$ of participants felt that homophobia exists in the sporting environment, with 'definitely' scoring $45 \%$ (221 responses) and sometimes scoring $40 \%$ (194 responses). $8 \%$ of participants considered there was very little homophobia and $6 \%$ did not perceive the existence of homophobic situations.


When segregating the information by socio demographic characteristics, it was found that heterosexual and non-migrant women were the highest percentile believing that homophobia sometimes exists, with 44\% and 42\%, respectively. In second place, 33\% of heterosexual women and $41 \%$ of non-migrant women believed that homophobia definitely exists in sport. There was no perceived evidence of homophobia for $4 \%$ of migrant women and $9 \%$ of heterosexual women. These findings were noteworthy, as, for example, $98 \%$ of transgender women believe that homophobia is always or sometimes present.

Regarding other socio demographic characteristics, no significant differences were found compared to the overall results.

## Transphobia in the sport environment

The participants were asked whether they perceived transphobia in sport. The responses were as follows:


It was evidenced that $88 \%$ of participants perceived that transphobia exists in the sporting environment, with 'definitely' scoring 66\%(320 responses) and sometimes $22 \% .7 \%$ of participants considered that there was very little transphobia in sport and $6 \%$ did not perceive homophobic situations to exist at all. It can be noted that the perception of definite transphobia in sports is as follows: 78\% for lesbian women, $85 \%$ for transgender women, $91 \%$ for queer and non-binary women, $78 \%$ for migrant women, and $84 \%$ for people with disabilities. However, regarding socio demographic
characteristics, no significant differences were evident in relation to the data obtained in the overall results.

## LBTOI+ women in sport environment

The participants were asked whether they perceived the sporting environment to be inclusive and welcoming to LBTOI+ women. The responses were as follows:

## DO YOU THINK THE SPORT ENVIRONMENT IS WELCOMING AND INCLUSIVE TOWARDS LBTIQ+ WOMEN'S PARTICIPATION?



Responses were concentrated in the middle, with $18 \%$ stating 'very often' (90 responses), $49 \%$ saying 'sometimes,' $26 \%$ indicating 'rarely,' and lower percentages reporting 'always' (2\%) and 'never' (4\%). Similar percentages were observed across all socio-demographic characteristics.

The next question asked whether they believed that the media adequately covered the participation of LBTOI+ women in the sports environment. The responses were as follows:


It was evidenced that $46 \%$ of participants considered that the media rarely covers sports participation of LBTOl+ women adequately ( 224 responses), while $28 \%$ answered 'never,' $21 \%$ 'sometimes,' $4 \%$ 'very often,' and 1\% 'always.


Disaggregating the information by socio demographic characteristics revealed differences in the percentage distribution of responses among women aged over 55, transgender women, and non-binary women. In comparison to the overall results, women aged $55+$ the percentage increased to $31 \%$ for 'sometimes'. For transgender women, $44 \%$ believed it is 'never' covered, and for non-binary women, the percentage increased to $64 \%$ believing that LBTQI+ women's sport is 'rarely' adequately covered by the media.

## MAIN FINDINGS OF THIS SECTION

$\square$ Approximately 1 out of 5 women have faced harassment or discrimination in a sporting environment.
$\square$ Most harassment or discrimination is related to gender identity or expression.
$\square$ Nearly $90 \%$ of the participants have experienced verbal harassment associated with multiple forms of harassment.
$\square$ In $76 \%$ of cases, the incidents of harassment and discrimination went unreported.
$\square$ Younger women, transgender women, non-heterosexual women and disabled women perceive significant barriers to their sports participation.
$\square$ More than half of the women in the study feel safe in their sports environment.
$\square 85 \%$ of women feel that homophobia exists in the sports environment.
$\square 88 \%$ of women feel that transphobia exists in the sports environment.
$\square 46 \%$ of women considered that the media rarely covers their sports participation

## SPORTS ORGANISATIONS

This section explores how women perceived the multiple actions of sports organisations in favour of inclusion and equality.

## Anti-harassment policy

The question was to determine whether the sports organisations had an anti-harassment or anti-discrimination policy. Therefore, only the responses of those women who had been involved in a sports organisation in the previous 12 months ( 373 women) were

## ANTI-HARASSMENT <br> POLICY


2.4 considered. The following graph illustrates that the highest percentage of women stated that their sport organisations had policies ( $41 \%$ ), but $35 \%$ were uncertain, and $24 \%$ claimed not to have any. These findings remained consistent across all socio-demographic characteristics.

In addition, the results were compared with the types of sports organisations to which the women belonged. It was discovered that amateur sports clubs ( 47 responses) and LGTBIO+ sports clubs ( 36 responses) were the organisations with the highest percentage having harassment policies, at $47 \%$ and $50 \%$ respectively, while professional clubs scored only 29\%. For-profit organisations, such as gyms, had a rate of $28 \%$, and $32 \%$ of informal groups had a policy in place.

ANTI-HARASSMENT POLICY IN SPORTS' ORGANISATIONS


Participants were also asked whether the sports organisation provided any kind of training about sexual abuse or distributed materials on how to report it. It can be observed that the vast majority indicated that none had received any training about it ( $65 \%$,

| AWARENESS ABOUT |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SEXUAL HARASSMENT IN |  |
| SPORT ORGANISAT 5\% |  |
| 29\% | ■Yes |
|  | No |
| 65\% | - Not sure | 243 responses); only one-third stated that some form of training had been provided to enable them to report such situations. These findings remained consistent across various socio demographic characteristics.

Regarding sexual orientation, it was found that $46 \%$ of heterosexual women and $60 \%$ of asexual women stated that they had received training or materials about sexual abuse in sport, in contrast to non-heterosexual women, whose percentage was lower, with lesbian women at $19 \%$, bisexual women at $23 \%$, and queer women at $25 \%$ and pansexual women at $13 \%$.

| Training <br> about sexual <br> abuse |  | Lesbian | Bisexual | Heterosexual | Asexual | Queer | Pansexual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\#$ | 25 | 19 | 59 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | $\%$ | $19 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| No | $\#$ | 99 | 55 | 64 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
|  | $\%$ | $78 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Not sure | $\#$ | 4 | 7 | 6 | - | 2 | - |
|  | $\%$ | $3 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | - | $25 \%$ | - |



For more details, the results were compared with various types of sports organisations. It was discovered that professional (69\%, 24 responses) and LGTBIO+ ( $78 \%$ ) sports clubs were the organisations with the highest percentage that did not provide any training or distribute materials on how to report sexual harassment. Additionally, it was evidenced that amateur sports clubs, with $38 \%$, have the highest percentage addressing this issue with their athletes.

Another question that was asked pertained to whether sports organisations had staff or a specific 'place' to report instances of harassment or discrimination. As depicted in the graph, $37 \%$ affirmed that they did (140


21
responses), $32 \%$ were unsure, and $31 \%$ unequivocally did not.

In terms of age, 50\% of women aged 55+ indicated that there was a specific person or place to report to, but only $19 \%$ of younger women (18-24) did so. Results remained consistent for this question over all socio demographic characteristics., as it can be observed it the following table:

| Someone <br> dedicated <br> to report |  | $18-24$ | $25-34$ | $35-44$ | $45-54$ | $55+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\#$ | 13 | 63 | 29 | 16 | 19 |
|  | $\%$ | $29 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| No | $\#$ | 14 | 42 | 32 | 14 | 12 |
|  | $\%$ | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Not sure | $\#$ | 19 | 47 | 34 | 12 | 7 |
|  | $\%$ | $41 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

For more details, the results were compared with the various types of sports organisations to which the women belonged. It was discovered that amateur ( $45 \%, 45$ responses) and LGTBIO+ (44\%) sports clubs were the organisations with the highest percentage of having a dedicated person/place to report harassment, which is consistent with previous findings about these sport organisations having anti-harassment policies. For-profit organisations scored the lowest percentage at $28 \%$ in this category.

## DEDICATED PERSON/PLACE TO REPORT HARASSMENT IN SPORTS' ORGANISATION



## Good practices of the sport organisation

This section analysed how sports organisations addressed situations of inclusion, safety, visibility, and equality for women. Therefore, only the responses of those women who had been involved in a sports organisation in the previous 12 months ( 373 women) were considered.

## Adequate safety needs

It can be observed that the majority of women perceived that sports organisations responded to the safety needs of women. Specifically, 29\% of participants (142 responses) affirmed that they definitely do, while $40 \%$ responded 'sometimes'. In contrast, 11\% stated that they do not respond adequately, and 20\% responded with "very little" .

## RESPOND ADEQUATELY TO THE SAFETY NEEDS OF WOMEN IN SPORT



RESPOND ADEQUATELY TO THE SAFETY NEEDS OF WOMEN


It was evidenced that $44 \%$ of women in LGTBIO+ sports clubs claimed that these organisations do respond adequately ( 35 responses). The least responsive were the informal groups, with only $17 \%$. Considering socio demographic characteristics, the
values varied significantly. For example, $19 \%$ of transgender women said that these organisations did not respond adequately, $28 \%$ responded "very little," and $22 \%$ were in definite agreement. These results demonstrate that the type of sports organisation is a decisive factor in responding effectively to the needs of safety for women.

## Strategies for equality and inclusion

It can be observed that the answers varied significantly, and there was no clear trend in this regard. 18\% of participants responded "yes, totally" (88 responses) that strategies of inclusion and equality were prioritised, $26 \%$ answered "yes, sometimes," 29\% said "yes, very little," and $26 \%$ definitively
 said "no".

PRIORITIZE STRATEGIES FOR EQUALITY AND INCLUSION FOR LBTIQ+ WOMEN IN SPORT


With regard to the type of sports organisation, it is worth highlighting that $41 \%$ of women participants in LGTBIO+ sports clubs affirmed that the club prioritises such strategies for inclusion and equality ( 32 responses). However, when considering transgender women (65\%) and pansexual women ( $86 \%$ ), they indicated that sports
organisations did not prioritise these strategies or did so very minimally. The results remained consistent regarding other socio-demographic characteristics.

| Prioritise strategies for <br> equality and inclusion |  | Transgender | Pansexual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No, definitely not | $\#$ | 23 | 8 |
|  | $\%$ | $43 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Yes, very little | $\#$ | 12 | 4 |
|  | $\%$ | $22 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Yes, sometimes | $\#$ | 9 | 2 |
|  | $\%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Yes, definitely | $\#$ | 10 | - |
|  | $\%$ | $18 \%$ | - |

## Effectively tackling women's harassment and discrimination

For this question, it can be observed that the responses were quite varied, and there was no clear trend. $32 \%$ of participants responded with "yes, definitely" (108 responses), $29 \%$ answered "yes, sometimes," 30\% responded "yes,

## EFFECTIVELY TACKLE <br> WOMEN'S HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION

 very little," and 19\% firmly said "no".

EFFECTIVELY TACKLE WOMEN'S HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION


Notably, 42\% of women participating in LGTBIO+ sports clubs stated that harassment and discrimination were effectively addressed (33 responses), with an additional $24 \%$ saying it happened "sometimes." However, when considering pansexual women, 86\% indicated that it was not effectively tackled or only minimally addressed. The results remained consistent with other socio-demographic characteristics.

Value the voices of the FLINTA community

Concerning this question, it can be seen that the responses were quite varied, and there was no clear trend in this regard. $32 \%$ responded with "yes, definitely" (108 responses), 24\% answered "yes, sometimes," $28 \%$ said "yes, very little,"

VALUE THE VOICES OF FLINTA'S COMMUNITY
 and $24 \%$ firmly said "no."


It is worth noting that the majority of women participants in LGTBIO+ sports clubs claimed to value the voices of the FLINTA community, with $47 \%$ responding "definitely"
(37 responses) and an additional $22 \%$ saying "sometimes." However, $65 \%$ of women aged 45-50, 67\% of transgender women, and $71 \%$ of pansexual women stated that the voices of the FLINTA community were not valued. The results remained consistent with other socio-demographic characteristics.

| Value the voices of <br> FLINTA community |  | $45-50$ | Transgender | Pansexual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No, definitely not | $\#$ | 24 | 24 | 8 |
|  | $\%$ | $40 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Yes, very little | $\#$ | 15 | 12 | 2 |
|  | $\%$ | $25 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Yes, sometimes | $\#$ | 7 | 5 | 3 |
|  | $\%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Yes, definitely | $\#$ | 14 | 13 | 1 |
|  | $\%$ | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

## Promote LBTOI+ women awareness-raising campaigns

Regarding this question, it can be seen that the majority of women perceived that sports organisations did not promote awareness-raising campaigns, with $32 \%$ responding "null" (155 responses) and an additional 35\% responding "very little." 40\% said "yes, definitely," and 19\% said "sometimes.

## PROMOTE LBTIQ+ WOMEN AWARENESS RAISING CAMPAIGNS



## PROMOTE LBTIQ+ WOMEN AWARENESS RAISING CAMPAIGNS
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It is worth noting that the majority of women participants in LGTBIO+ sports clubs claimed that they promoted awareness raising campaigns for LBTOI+ women, with $33 \%$ responding "definitely" ( 26 responses) and $28 \%$ saying "sometimes." The results remained consistent with other socio-demographic characteristics, indicating that the most influential factor is the type of sports organisation.

## Tackling homophobia and transphobia in sport

Concerning this question, it can be seen from the graph that the responses were quite varied, and there was no clear trend with regard to this. $36 \%$ of participants responded "no" (125 responses), and an additional $32 \%$ responded "very little." $32 \%$ said "yes, definitely," and $21 \%$ said "sometimes" when asked if homophobia and transphobia in sport were tackled.

TACKLE HOMOPHOBIA AND TRANSPHOBIA IN SPORT


Notably, the majority of women participants in LGTBIQ+ sports clubs claimed to tackle homophobia and transphobia in sport, with $59 \%$ responding "definitely" ( 46 responses)
and $13 \%$ saying "sometimes". The results remained consistent with other socio demographic characteristics, highlighting that the determining factor is the type of sports organisation.

## Sports organisation environment

This part analyses how often women felt comfortable, ashamed, downhearted, proud, or hyper-sexualized in the sports environment. The responses of all participants (487 women) were considered.

## Feeling comfortable

Regarding this question, the majority of women felt comfortable because they could be themselves 'very often' $(47 \%, 230$ responses) or 'always' ( $29 \%$ ). Only $1 \%$ said 'never', $6 \%$ 'rarely', and 17\% 'sometimes'.



It was worth noting that the majority of intersex women felt comfortable sometimes (59\%, 7 responses), frequently $25 \%$, and always $17 \%$. Transgender women had the
highest percentages of not feeling comfortable in the sports environment, with $6 \%$ never ( 3 responses), 19\% rarely, $33 \%$ sometimes, $33 \%$ frequently, and only $10 \%$ always. No significant differences were observed when considering other socio-demographic characteristics.

## Feeling ashamed

With regards to this question, the majority of women did not feel ashamed of themselves, with $33 \%$ feeling 'never ' (161 responses) or 'rarely' $39 \%$. Only $1 \%$ say they 'always' feel ashamed, 5\% 'very often', and $22 \%$ 'sometimes'. No significant differences were observed when considering various socio demographic characteristics.

## Feeling downhearted or depressed

Regarding this question, similar percentages to the previous responses were received. The majority of women did not feel downhearted or depressed about themselves, with 33\% feeling 'never' (161 responses) or 'rarely' (39\%). Only 1\% reported 'always' feeling downhearted or
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 depressed, $5 \%$ "very often', and $22 \%$ 'sometimes'.

Consistent results were observed when considering various socio-demographic characteristics.

## Feeling proud

With regards to this question, the graph illustrates that $10 \%$ of women 'always' felt proud of themselves ( 46 responses), $41 \%$ felt 'very often', $42 \%$ 'sometimes', $6 \%$ 'rarely', and only $1 \%$ 'never' feel proud.



Notably, in relation to the general average, the percentage of transgender women who never felt proud of themselves increased to $4 \%$ ( 2 responses), and rarely to $15 \%$. Similarly, $5 \%$ of women with disabilities never felt proud of themselves, and rarely at $13 \%$.

Consistent results were observed when considering other socio-demographic characteristics.

## Feeling hyper-sexualized

With this question, the graph indicates that $44 \%$ of respondents 'never' felt hyper-sexualized (213 responses), 34\% 'rarely' do, while $1 \%$ said they 'always' felt hyper-sexualized, $5 \%$ 'very often', and 16\% 'sometimes'.



It's worth noting that in relation to the general average, younger women had a higher percentage of those who always (4\%), frequently (4\%), or sometimes ( $26 \%$ ) felt hyper-sexualized, and the percentage of those who never felt this way was $18 \%$.

Consistent results were observed when considering various socio demographic characteristics.

## MAIN FINDINGS OF THIS SECTION

$\square$ Approximately 1 out of 3 sports organisations has an anti-harassment policy in place.
$\square 65 \%$ of women indicated that they did not receive any training on sexual abuse by their sport organisation.

LGTBIO+ sports clubs respond most adequately to the needs of women prioritising strategies for inclusion and equality, effectively addressing harassment and discrimination and tackling homophobia and transphobia, valuing the voices of the FLINTA community, and promoting awareness-raising campaigns for LBTOI+ women.
$\square \quad 47 \%$ of women frequently felt comfortable in the sports environment. However, $19 \%$ of transgender women indicated that they rarely felt comfortable, and 6\% never did.
$\square 33 \%$ of women did not feel ashamed or depressed in the sports environment.
$\square$ Women aged 18-24 years felt more hyper-sexualized in the sports environment than other women.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

The last section explores how sports organisations could improve the visibility of women within the sports sector. Additionally, specific comments extracted from interviews and open-ended questions in the survey, where women could express themselves freely about their thoughts, were included.

1. Promote women role models as coaches or board members


## 2. Organise specific campaigns for women only

$60 \%$ of women indicated it would be extremely or very helpful.
"I believe it's intriguing to delve deeper into these issues and extend their reach to rural areas, where sports facilities are scarce and they do not have a gender-inclusive approach"
(Heterosexual woman, France)

3. Promote LBTOl+ women's participation in all communication channels (for example., social media, digital, traditional)

$71 \%$ of women indicated it would be extremely or very helpful.
"We need to talk about it, because they need to understand [t]here are different femininities in our teams"
(Migrant transgender woman,
German)
4. Develop diversity, equality, and inclusion training for all

| DEVELOP DIVERSITY, EQUALITY, AND INCLUSION TRAINING FOR ALL $2 \% 1 \%$ | $82 \%$ of women indicated it would be extremely or very helpful. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | "I coached till the major league for a few years. Very little is done by both the federation and clubs to raise awareness on inclusion and diversity" (Hetereosexual woman, Italy) |

5. Improve facilities (for example, locker rooms) in an inclusive way

$72 \%$ of women indicated it would be extremely or very helpful.
"My local area does not facilitate my sport in its venues well. We are struggled to find local locations for events and training and are pushed out for more preferable sports" (Disabled bisexual woman, UK)
6. Promote more women participating in grassroots sport

$86 \%$ of women indicated it would be extremely or very helpful
"I think trans woman and non
binary people need access to sport, especially grass roots sport. We also have to help make stadiums safe areas". (Lesbian woman, Spain)
7. Provide development opportunities for women in elite sport


## SCOPE AND LIMITATION OF THE FINDINGS

Undoubtedly, the data collected in this report on women's participation in sport is essential in shedding light on the barriers and challenges they face in this environment. However, it is important to remember that this report does not aim to be the absolute truth but rather a starting point for broader discussions and a call to action.
$\square$ The data provided here serves as a reminder that, despite advancements in gender equity in sports, significant inequalities still exist and need to be addressed. The identified barriers are real and acknowledging these hurdles is crucial for women's advancement.

An intersectional perspective is vital. Women are not a homogenous group, and recognizing the diverse experiences of transgender women, disabled women, heterosexual women, queer women, migrant women, lesbian women, intersex women, and everyone who identifies as a woman, is crucial in addressing systemic oppression such as cis-heteronormativity, patriarchy, whiteness, ableism, ageism, and xenophobia.
$\square$ This report humbly acknowledges that data collection is only the beginning. To achieve meaningful change, it is essential that we continue to research and gather updated data to better understand the evolving status of women in sport. Ongoing research will enable us to identify emerging trends, assess the impact of existing policies and programmes, and adapt strategies to achieve greater inclusivity and equity.
$\square$ Ultimately, this report offers a valuable snapshot of women in sport across Europe, therefore, it is a call to action for all stakeholders, from sports organisations to governments and civil society. It urges collaboration to create an inclusive and equitable sports environment, considering factors like gender
identity, sexual orientation, ethnicity, and disability. The data serves as a reminder that the work is far from finished, and it should fuel informed dialogue and strategic planning for real and sustainable change in the sports industry.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Women: for the purposes of this report, all participants are women, regardless of whether they identify totally or partially as women.
    ${ }^{2}$ ELINTA: German acronym stands for Female, Lesbian, Intersex, Trans and Agender. It stands for anyone who is not a cisgender man.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ LBTOI+: acronym for Lesbian, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer/Questioning, Intersex, and everyone who identifies fully or partially as woman in the LGBTOl+ community
    ${ }^{4}$ Intersectionality: first coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw, is known as a powerful theoretical framework for examining how diverse patterns of identity-based inequality, such as sexism, racism, ableism, and nationalism are mutually constituted (Crenshaw, 1989).
    ${ }^{5}$ Heteronormativity: term coined by Michael Warner to refer to the privileged position that heterosexual culture has in Western societies, it constitutes a tactic of social surveillance that produces discrimination and subalternity of those who distance themselves from heterocentrism, generating barriers, oppressions, and inequalities towards non-heterosexual people (Warner, 1991).

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Patriarchy: term defined by Gerda Lerner, as the manifestation and institutionalisation of male dominance over women and children in the family and the extension of male dominance over women in the society in general, and the patriarchy is socially constructed and seen as natural and invisible (Lerner, 1986).

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ Gender identity: is understood to refer to each person's deeply felt internal and individual experience of gender, which may or may not correspond with the sex assigned at birth, including the personal sense of the body (which may involve, if freely chosen, modification of bodily appearance or function by medical, surgical or other means) and other expressions of gender, including dress, speech and mannerisms (Principles Y., 2007, p.6).
    ${ }^{8}$ Cisgender: a term denoting a person's continued identification with the gender identity they were assigned at birth and is the opposite of the term transgender (Aultman, 2014).

[^4]:    ${ }^{9}$ Intersex: The term intersex literally means between sexes. To be intersex means that a person has genitals, reproductive organs, secondary sex characteristics, hormones, and/or chromosomes that fall outside the commonly known binary definitions of either male or female sex (Khanna, 2021).
    ${ }^{10}$ Sexual orientation: is understood to refer to each person's capacity for profound emotional, affectional and sexual attraction to, and intimate and sexual relations with, individuals of a different gender or the same gender or more than one gender (Principles Y., 2007, p.6).

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Oppression(definition Cambridge Dictionary): "a situation in which people are governed in an unfair and cruel way and prevented from having opportunities and freedom:
    -Every human being has the right to freedom from oppression.
    -War, famine and oppression have forced people in the region to flee from their homes.
    -the oppression of women."

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ Physical activity: The World Health Organisation defines physical activity refers to all movement including during leisure time. Physical activity refers to all movement. Popular ways to be active include walking, cycling, wheeling, active recreation and play, and can be done at any level of skill and for enjoyment by everybody. Both moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity improve health (Bull et al., 2020).
    ${ }^{13}$ Sport: The Council or Europe defines all forms of physical activity which, through casual or organised participation, are aimed at maintaining or improving physical fitness and mental well-being, forming social relationships or obtaining results in competition at all levels (Council of Europe, 2021).

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ FLINTA: German acronym stands for Female, Lesbian, Intersex, Trans and Agender. It stands for anyone who is not a cisgender man.

[^8]:    ${ }^{15}$ Athletic identity: refers to the degree of strength and exclusivity to which a person identifies with the athlete role or the degree to which one devotes special attention to sport relative to other engagements or activities in life (Brewer and Cornelius, 2001).

